



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/601,913		08/08/2000	Sergei Mikhailovich Safronov	V-177	5275
802	7590	12/06/2006		EXAMINER	
PATENTT			RADA, ALEX P		
P. O. BOX 8 PORTLANI		97282-0788		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3714	
				DATE MAIL ED: 12/06/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			9/601,913	SAFRONOV ET	SAFRONOV ET AL.				
			aminer	Art Unit					
		Ale	ex P. Rada	3714					
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communic	cation appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	address				
WHICHEVER I  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT  - If NO period for rep  - Failure to reply with Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FO S LONGER, FROM THE MA may be available under the provisions of tHS from the mailing date of this commodition. HS specified above, the maximum station the set or extended period for reply very by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Inication. utory period will appill, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNION In no event, however, may ply and will expire SIX (6) Me the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>01 Augus</u>	st 2006.						
2a) ☐ This action	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)☐ Since this	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Cla	iims								
4)⊠ Claim(s)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s)	Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restrict	ion and/or ele	ection requirement.						
Application Paper	rs								
9)∐ The speci	fication is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) because to by the Examiner.									
Applicant	may not request that any objec	tion to the draw	ving(s) be held in abey	/ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. <u></u> Ce									
2.☐ Ce	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3.☐ Co	pies of the certified copies of	of the priority of	documents have be	en received in this Nation	al Stage				
	plication from the Internation	,	, ,,						
* See the at	tached detailed Office actior	ı for a list of th	ne certified copies n	ot received.					
					-				
Attachment(s)			_						
	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	TO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Discl	osure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5) 🔲 Notice o	of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail	Date		6) 🔲 Other: _	<del></del> .					

Art Unit: 3714

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Amendment

In response to the Request for Continued Examination filed August 1, 2006, in which applicant amends claim 17 and claims 17-20 are pending in this application.

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, a set of game fields and the ones of the set of sensors corresponding unambiguously to ones of the set of fields as recited in claim 17 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/601,913

Art Unit: 3714

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kitazawa (JP 05-286500).

Kitazawa discloses the use of satellites (space vehicle) randomly moving in space outside the Earth observes and catches some space debris (game elements), an event assessment means (device 3) located within the satellite body and having a set of fields (detecting sensors 2), and a technical facility, wherein the set of debris detecting sensors (2) transmit the detection signal to the device (3) to detect the hitting of the set of fields by the elements in some moment of time (space debris) located on board the space vehicle (satellite), ones of the set of sensors corresponding unambiguously to ones of the set of fields (2) as recited in claim 17. The satellites in Kitazawa, in its broadest reasonable interpretation, is the space vehicle; the game elements, in its broadest reasonable interpretation, is the space debris; the game event assessment means, in its broadest reasonable interpretation, is the device or equipment (3), the technical facility for registering a game event, in its broadest reasonable interpretation is the debris detecting sensors, which is located outside the earth (2) transmit the

detection signal to the device (3) to detect the hitting of the *set of* game fields by the elements (space debris) located on board the space vehicle (satellite). See MPEP 2114 and In re Ngai.

The game elements comprise of space waste, wherein the space debris is comparable to space waste as recited in claim 18.

The game elements comprise of meteorite particles, wherein the space debris is comparable to meteorite particles as recited in claim 19.

The game elements comprise of mainly meteorite particles and space waste, wherein the space debris is comparable to meteorite particle and space waste as recited in claim 20.

Kitazawa does not appear to expressly disclose a telemetry channel for transmitting game event occurrence data from outside of the earth to the earth. Kitazawa's satellite is placed into orbit for the purpose of collecting data concerning the occurrence of objects striking the satellite's sensors. In order to be of value, this data must be transmitted to earth. Therefore, Kitazawa's system must inherently include a telemetry channel for reporting the collected data (i.e., game occurrence data) to earth.

In the alternative, even if a telemetry signal were not inherent in Kitazawa's system, Examiner takes official notice of the fact that telemetry is conventionally employed in the satellite art to provide data concerning a satellite's condition and events occurring on a satellite to the control station on earth. For example, in the movie Armageddon or the Apollo 13 incident, where the communications being transmitted from the satellites, space shuttle, capsule, space station or the like to the control station on earth. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kitazawa to include a telemetry channel in order to follow standard practice in the industry by providing data concerning a satellite's condition and events occurring on a satellite to the control station on earth. See MPEP 2114 and In re Ngai.

Application/Control Number: 09/601,913

Art Unit: 3714

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex P. Rada whose telephone number is 571-272-4452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

APR

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI
'ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
CHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 3 200

Page 5